merit decided in AprilјMay, 1987 that the said undertakings given to Delhi High Court subsists. As these companies did not deposit the amount, the Hon'ble Supreme Court was moved for necessary directions to the companies, for immediate compliance of the undertaking.

(c) and (d) The amount was worked out with reference to the prices charged by the companies and the price fixed by the Government.

Price decontrol on neosporin rang* drugs

1526. SHRI DAYANAND SAHAY: DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJINI MAHISHI: DR. BAPU KALDATE: t

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased.to state:

(a) what were the basis in which Neosporin, Dilosyn, Banadryl; Hsl-maccel; Zoandin; Evion; Kenacort having monopoy were recommended for price decontrol;

(b) whether his Ministry verified the turn-over and monopoly share before accepting the recommendations of identification; and

(c) if so, how such discrepancies happened and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (c"> These drugs were recommended by the Kelkar Committee for price decontrol as per its criteria. The exclusion criteria adopted by the Committee are given in reply to Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1565 for 8.8.88. These were accepted by the Government. It was an Export Committee which submitted its report after detailed study and con-sulatation with various groups, as-» sociations, interested parties, etc. and it was not found necessary to further examine the issues already examined in detail by the Committee. Representations received about discrepancies and categorisation have been forwarded to the Committee.

Implementation of drugs prices

1527. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJINI MAHISHI:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that certain drug companies filed with petitions against the prices fixed Tor the bulk drugs and formulation under DPCD, 1979;

(b) in how many cases- the courts have given final judgements;

(c) the names of the drug companies names of the bulk drugs, names of the formulations along with pi-ices fixed under DPCO, 1979 which were upheld by different courts;

(d) whether it is a fact that certain drug companies did not implement the prices fixed by Government;

(e) if so, the names of such drug companies along with the names of the products for which prices have not been implemented so far;

(f) what are the reasons for not acting as per the court's judgement and not implementing the prices; ;and

(g) the names of the drug companies whose cases are still pending in courts along with the names of products are the names of courts in which such cases are pending?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (c) and (g) To the extent information is available is given in the enclosed Statement. (See *below)*

(d) to (f) All the concei-ned companies were advised to implement the prices and the State Drugs Controllers were also asked to ensure im-plementation of prices fixed by Government for these companies.